A. Problem 1

We will derive an upperbound for the following quantities

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} P^t \bar{c}$$

for the cases where $\bar{c} = x$ and $\bar{c} = x^2$. The former is the steady-state mean queue length and the latter is the steady-state second-moment.

To derive an upper-bound, we use the following result:

Lemma 0.1: If

$$PV \leq V - \bar{c} + \eta$$

where V is a nonnegative function that is finite for each x, then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} P^t \bar{c} \le \eta.$$
(1)

Proof: We iterate the first inequality:

$$P^2 V \le P V - P \overline{c} + P \eta \le V - \overline{c} + \eta - P \overline{c} + P \eta = V - (c + P \overline{c}) + 2\eta$$

where we use the equality $P\eta = \eta$ since η is a constant function. Repeat this, we obtain

$$P^n V \le V - \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} P^t \bar{c} + n\eta$$

On rearanging terms and divide both sides by n, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=0}^{n-1}P^t\bar{c} \le \eta + \frac{1}{n}V - \frac{1}{n}P^nV$$

Since V is a nonnegative function, we obtain $P^n V \ge 0$. By taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we obtain the desired inequality.

We now calculate Pg.

$$\begin{split} Pg(x) &= \mathsf{E}[g(Q(k+1)|Q(k)=x] \\ &= E[g(x-\delta x+A)] \\ &= E[a(x-\delta x+A)^2+b(x-\delta x+A)+c] \\ &= a(1-\delta)^2 x^2+2a(1-\delta)xE[A]+aE[A^2]+b(1-\delta)x+bE[A]+c \\ &= a(1-\delta)^2 x^2+(b(1-\delta)+2a(1-\delta)E[A])x+(aE[A^2]+bE[A]+c) \end{split}$$

January 28, 2011

We need to make a good choice of (a, b, c) for each case.

Case 1: $\bar{c} = x$. Take $a = 0, b = 1/\delta, c = 0$, we obtain

$$Pg(x) \le g(x) - x + \frac{1}{\delta}\mathsf{E}[A]$$

Uppon applying the inequality (1), we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} P^t \bar{c} \le \frac{1}{\delta} \mathsf{E}[A]$$

Case 2: $\bar{c} = x^2$. Take $a = \frac{1}{2\delta - \delta^2}, b = \frac{2a(1-\delta)E[A]}{\delta}, c = 0$, we obtain

$$Pg(x) \le g(x) - x^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta - \delta^2} E[A^2] + \frac{2(1-\delta)}{\delta(2\delta - \delta^2)} E[A]^2$$

Uppon applying the inequality (1), we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} P^t \bar{c} \le \frac{1}{2\delta - \delta^2} E[A^2] + \frac{2(1-\delta)}{\delta(2\delta - \delta^2)} E[A]^2$$

Note that the second moment is an upperbound on the variance, so the above bound is also an upper-bound on the variance. Besides, we can also make the *conjecture* that the steady-state variance to be

$$\frac{1}{2\delta - \delta^2} E[A^2] + \frac{2(1-\delta)}{\delta(2\delta - \delta^2)} E[A]^2 - \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \mathsf{E}[A]\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2\delta - \delta^2} \sigma_A^2$$

B. Problem 2

(a)

$$\mu P = \left[\frac{9}{10}\mu_1 + \frac{1}{4}\mu_2, \frac{1}{10}\mu_1 + \frac{3}{4}\mu_2\right]$$

We construct the invariant measure, we first solve the equations $\pi P = \pi$, $\pi_1 + \pi_2 = 1$. Substituite the above formula for μP into these equations, we have

$$\frac{9}{10}\pi_1 + \frac{1}{4}\pi_2 = \pi_1$$
$$\frac{1}{10}\pi_1 + \frac{3}{4}\pi_2 = \pi_2$$
$$\pi_1 + \pi_2 = 1$$

From this, we obtain $\pi_1 = \frac{5}{7}$, $\pi_2 = \frac{2}{7}$.

(b) By solving det $(I - \lambda P) = 0$, we could obtain that P have eigenvalues λ_1 and $\lambda_2 = \frac{13}{20}$. By solving $\mu P = \lambda_i \mu$ for i = 1, 2, we obtain that a pair of the left eigenvectors of P are given

January 28, 2011

by $\mu^1 = [\frac{5}{7}, \frac{2}{7}]$ and $\mu^2 = [1, -1]$. A pair of the right eigen vectors that also satisfies $\mu^1 \nu^1 = 1$ and $\mu^2 \nu^2 = 1$ are given by $\nu^1 = [1, 1]^T$ and $\nu^2 = [\frac{2}{7}, -\frac{5}{7}]^T$. Note that from $\lambda \neq \lambda_2$, we obtain $\mu^1 \nu^2 = 0$ and $\mu^2 \nu^1 = 0$. Thus, we have the following decomposition of P:

$$P = \lambda_1 \nu^1 \mu^1 + \lambda_2 \nu^2 \mu^2$$

(c) It is easy to see that

$$P^{n} = (\lambda_{1}\nu^{1}\mu^{1} + \lambda_{2}\nu^{2}\mu^{2}P)P^{n-2} = (\lambda_{1}^{2}\nu^{1}\mu^{1} + \lambda_{2}^{2}\nu^{2}\mu^{2})P^{n-2}.$$

By induction it is easy to see that

$$P^n = \lambda_1^n \nu^1 \mu^1 + \lambda_2^n \nu^2 \mu^2.$$

Since $\lambda_2 < 1$, as $n \to \infty$, the second term vanishes. Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P^n = \nu^1 \mu^1 = \mathbf{1}\pi$$

The convergence is geometric with rate λ_2 , i.e.,

$$||P^{n+1} - \mathbf{1}\pi|| = \lambda_2 ||P^n - \mathbf{1}\pi||$$

which holds for any matrix norm.

(d) Let us take $R_{\gamma} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (1+\gamma)^{-t+1} P^t$ and verify it satisfies $R_{\gamma} = [(1+\gamma)I - P]^{-1}$.

$$[(1+\gamma)I - P]R_{\gamma} = (1+\gamma)R - PR$$

= $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (1+\gamma)^{-t}P^{t} - \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (1+\gamma)^{-t+1}P^{t+1}$
= $(1+\gamma)^{-0}P^{0} = I$

We can also write R_{γ} using the spectral decomposition of P.

$$R_{\gamma} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} [(1+\gamma)^{-t+1} \lambda^{t} \nu^{1} \mu^{1} + (1+\gamma)^{-t+1} \lambda_{2}^{t} \nu^{2} \mu^{2}]$$

$$= (1+\gamma)^{-1} [(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\frac{\lambda_{1}}{1+\gamma})^{t}) \nu^{1} \mu^{1} + (\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\frac{\lambda_{2}}{1+\gamma})^{t}) \nu^{2} \mu^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+\gamma-\lambda_{1}} \nu^{1} \mu^{1} + \frac{1}{1+\gamma-\lambda_{2}} \nu^{2} \mu^{2}$$

January 28, 2011

(e) Many choices will make [I - (P - wv)] invertible. We choose $w = [\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{4}]^r$ and v = [1, 1]. We obtain

I - (P - w	v) =	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$
Z =	$\begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	

and

Thus,

$$vZ = [\frac{5}{4}, 2] = 7\pi.$$