Issued: February 24

**Reading**: Continue reading CTCN: We will cover Sections 9.1–9.5.

## Exercises:

10. Consider the linear model X(t+1) = AX(t) + BU(t) + N(t+1), with N i.i.d., zero mean, and finite variance. Consider the quadratic cost,

 $c(x,u) = \frac{1}{2}x'Qx + \frac{1}{2}u'Ru$ 

where R > 0 and  $Q \ge 0$ . Apply one step of the VIA algorithm to compute  $V_1$  by hand when  $V_0$  is a quadratic. Easy, right?

Explain why computation is it so much harder for the  $\ell_1$  control problem, with  $c(x, u) = \sqrt{x'Qx} + \sqrt{u'Ru}$ .

**Solution** First we respond to "*Easy, right*": With quadratic cost, computation of  $V_1$  is obtained in closed form, and  $V_1$  is quadtric. The  $\ell_1$  problem is "*easy*" because it is a convex program, but it is hard because we cannot obtain a closed-form expression  $V_1$ .

Assume  $V_0 = \frac{1}{2}x'Mx$  where X is a *positive definite* matrix. We now compute  $V_1$ :

$$V_{1}(x) = \min_{u} (c(x, u) + P_{u}V_{0})$$

$$= \min_{u} (c(x, u) + \mathsf{E}[\frac{1}{2}(Ax + Bu + N(1))'M(Ax + Bu + N(1))])$$

$$= \min_{u} (c(x, u) + \mathsf{E}[\frac{1}{2}u'B'MBu + x'A'MBu + N(1)'M(Ax + Bu)]$$

$$+ \mathsf{E}[\frac{1}{2}x'A'MAx + \frac{1}{2}N(1)'AN(1)])$$

$$= \min_{u} (c(x, u) + \frac{1}{2}u'B'MBu + x'A'MBu$$

$$+ \mathsf{E}[N(1)'M(Ax + Bu)] + \frac{1}{2}x'A'MAx + \frac{1}{2}\mathsf{E}[N(1)'AN(1)])$$

$$= \min_{u} (\frac{1}{2}u'Ru + \frac{1}{2}u'B'MBu + x'A'MBu)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}x'Qx + \frac{1}{2}x'A'MAx + \frac{1}{2}\mathsf{E}[N(1)'AN(1)]$$
(1)

To find the minimizer u, we use the necessary condition for optimality:

$$0 = \nabla_u \left( \frac{1}{2} u' R u + \frac{1}{2} u' B' M B u + x' A' M B u \right)$$
  
=  $R u + B' M B u + B' M A x$ 

Thus, we have

 $u = -(R + B'MB)^{-1}B'MAx$ 

Substitute this into (1), we obtain

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2}x'(Q + A'MA)x - \frac{1}{2}x'A'MB(R + B'MB)^{-1}B'MAx + \frac{1}{2}\mathsf{E}[N(1)'AN(1)]$$

Applying matrix inversion lemma, we obtain

$$(M^{-1} + BR^{-1}B')^{-1} = M - MB(R + B'MB)^{-1}B'M$$

Consequently, we have

 $V_1 = \frac{1}{2}x' (Q + (M^{-1} + BR^{-1}B')^{-1})x + \frac{1}{2}\mathsf{E}[N(1)'AN(1)]$ where  $Q + (M^{-1} + BR^{-1}B')^{-1}$  is clearly a positive definite matrix. **11.** Recall the controlled queueing model,

$$Q(t+1) = Q(t) - U(t) + A(t+1)$$
(2)

in which A is i.i.d., and U(t), Q(t) are non-negative valued. We have considered the cost function  $c(x, u) = x + \frac{1}{2}u^2$ , and found that the relative value function can be approximated by the fluid value function:

$$\min_{u} \left\{ c(x,u) + D_{u}h(x) \right\} \approx \eta$$

where  $h(x) = kx^p$ , with p = 3/2, and k,  $\eta$  are constants.

See if you can find an approximation for the discounted-cost optimal control problem. If desired, you can take the form given in CTCN: Find a function h such that,

$$\min_{u} \left\{ c(x, u) + D_{u}h(x) \right\} \approx \gamma h(x)$$

where  $\gamma > 0$  (corresponding to discount factor  $\beta = (1 + \gamma)^{-1}$ ). This simplifies comparison with the fluid model total-cost problem.

Solution Consider the discounted cost optimality equation (DCOE) for the fluid model,

$$\min_{u} \left\{ c(x,u) + D_{u}J(x) \right\} = \gamma J(x) \tag{3}$$

in which  $D_u J(x) = (-u + \alpha) \cdot \nabla J(x)$ . The DCOE is solved with the fluid value function,

$$J^{*}(x) = \inf_{u(\cdot)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma t} c(q(t), u(t)) \, dt, \qquad q(0) = x \ge 0.$$

To simplify the problem we take  $\alpha = 0$ , so the fluid model is defined by  $\dot{q} = -u$ . In this case, when  $\gamma = 0$ , we can obtain an explicit solution,

$$J_0^*(x) = kx^{3/2}$$
, where  $k = 4\sqrt{2}/3$ . (4)

For  $\gamma > 0$  we can apply (3) and the form of c to deduce  $u^* = -\frac{d}{dx}J^*(x)$ , and

$$x - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d}{dx} J^*(x)\right)^2 = \gamma J^*(x) \tag{5}$$

We can't solve this, but note that for any non-negative, smooth function  $J: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ , on setting  $c_J(x, u) = \gamma J(x) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{d}{dx}J(x))^2 + \frac{1}{2}u^2$ , we solve the DCOE using this function,

$$\min_{u} \left\{ c_J(x,u) + D_u J(x) \right\} = \gamma J(x) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d}{dx} J(x) \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d}{dx} J(x) \right)^2 = \gamma J(x)$$
(6)

Our goal is to find J so that  $c_J \approx c$ .

We first establish some structure of the solution to (5):

- (i) Convexity of c and linearity of the fluid model implies that  $J^*$  is convex.
- (ii) If  $x \sim 0$  or  $\gamma \sim 0$  then the exponential  $e^{-\gamma t}$  has little impact. Hence  $J^*(x) = O(x^{3/2})$  for  $x \sim 0$  (the structure of  $J^*$  when  $\gamma = 0$ ).

(iii) An upper bound on  $J^*$  is obtained on setting  $u(t) = u_0 1\{q(t) > 0\}$ . The resulting value function has linear growth, which implies that  $J^*(x) = O(x)$  for large x. This combined with convexity implies that the following limit exists, and is finite:

$$\frac{d}{dx}J^*(\infty) := \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{d}{dx}J^*(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x}J^*(x) < \infty$$

A second look at the DCOE gives the value,  $\frac{d}{dx}J^*(\infty) = \gamma^{-1}$ .

Putting this all together, the solution to (5) can be approximated by a convex function with linear growth. There are many such approximations.

If we want  $J(x) = O(x^{3/2})$  for  $x \sim 0$ , then we could try

$$J(x) = \frac{x}{\gamma} (1 - e^{-k\gamma\sqrt{x}})$$

This has the right shape for large x, and is approximately  $kx^{3/2}$  when  $x \sim 0$ . It is convex, with

$$\frac{d}{dx}J(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( (1 - e^{-k\gamma\sqrt{x}}) + \frac{1}{2}k\gamma\sqrt{x}e^{-k\gamma\sqrt{x}} \right)$$

Consequently,  $c_J(x) \sim x + u^2$  (in engineering terms!) for arbitrary  $\gamma$ .

We have  $J(x) \to kx^{3/2} \gamma \downarrow 0$ , for each  $x \ge 0$ . Considering (4), a natural choice for k is  $k = 4\sqrt{2}/3$ . In this case it follows that  $c_J(x) \to x + u^2$  as well.

12. Returning once more to (2), in the average cost setting, note that the cost function  $c(x, u) = x + \frac{1}{2}u^2$  is not well motivated — why sum the two costs? Let's consider instead the constrained optimization problem,

min 
$$\mathsf{E}[U(\infty)^2]$$
 s.t.  $\mathsf{E}[X(\infty)] \le \bar{\eta}$  (7)

where  $\bar{\eta}$  is a pre-specified constraint.

Approximate the solution to this problem, with  $\bar{\eta}$  half the steady-state cost obtained when  $U(t) = 1\{X(t) \ge 1\}$  (see Theorem 3.0.1 in CTCN).

To solve this problem you must truncate the state space, and you should assume that (U(k), Q(k), A(k)) are restricted to an integer lattice. I'll give you some flexibility in modeling: the marginal of A has mean near 10, and variance between 5 and 25 — for example, you can choose a uniform, or geometric distribution.

You can solve an LP, or you can compute the solution to the average cost optimization problem with  $c(x, u) = \lambda x + \frac{1}{2}u^2$ , for various  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Solution** Regardless of what approach you take, the state space and action space must be truncated: X = [0, ..., N] and U = [0, ..., M] for some finite N, M. We also have state dependent input constraints:

$$\mathsf{U}(x) = \{ x : u \in \mathsf{U}, \ u \le x \}$$

There are two ways to approach this problem:

Method 1: Lagrangian relaxation For each  $\lambda \ge 0$  solve the MDP

min  $\mathsf{E}[U(\infty)^2] + \lambda (\mathsf{E}[X(\infty)] - \bar{\eta})$ 

Find the value  $\lambda^* > 0$  such that the resulting policy gives  $\mathsf{E}[X^*(\infty)] = \bar{\eta}$ , and this will solve the constrained optimization problem (7).

Method 2: LP The constrained optimization problem can be written as the following linear programming problem:

$$\max \qquad \sum_{x,u} \Gamma(x,u) c_{\mathrm{U}}(u) \\ \text{s.t.} \qquad \sum_{x,u} \Gamma(x,u) c_{\mathrm{x}}(x) \leq \bar{\eta} \\ \sum_{x,u} \Gamma(x,u) = 1 \\ \sum_{x,u} \Gamma(x,u) P_u(x,y) = \sum_{u} \Gamma(y,u) \quad \forall y \\ \Gamma(x,u) \geq 0 \quad \forall x, u \end{cases}$$
(8)

where  $c_{\rm U}(u) \equiv u^2$ ,  $c_{\rm X}(x) \equiv x$ , and where  $P_u(x, y)$  is the transition law under control u for this Markov model,

$$Q(t+1) = [Q(t) - U(t) + A(t+1)]_0^N$$

Here is the result you would expect for  $\Gamma^*$ ,



where the dark color denotes the support of  $\Gamma$ . In this particular experiment, the solution obtained was deterministic. In other experiments, there will be a few values of x for which  $\Gamma(x, u) \in (0, 1)$ , so that the policy is randomized.

In the case illustrated in the figure, the optimizing  $\Gamma^*$  defines a (deterministic) state feedback policy  $\phi^*$ . For small x, we have  $\phi^*(x) = x$  (the queue is emptied out). For larger x, the policy has the  $\sqrt{x}$ -shape predicted by the analysis of the fluid model.